The F-Buffer: A Rasterization-Order FIFO Buffer for Multi-Pass Rendering

Bill Mark and Kekoa Proudfoot Stanford University

http://graphics.stanford.edu/projects/shading/

Motivation for this work

Two goals for real-time procedural shading:

- Hardware independence
- Support arbitrarily complex shaders





Current approaches to virtualization

Multi-pass rendering

- Render several times from one viewpoint
- Each rendering pass performs one part of complete computation
- Framebuffer can store one temporary RGBA value.
- Use render-to-texture to store more temporary values.







































F-Buffer implementation is simple

Requirements:

- Input: Address counter for "texture" read
- Output: Address counter for "framebuffer" write
- Software or HW to handle overflow

Possibly:

Guarantee of consistent rasterization order









Many variations of F-Buffer

Where is F-Buffer stored? How is overflow handled? Is geometry re-rasterized on every pass? (if so, rasterization order must be consistent) When are conventional framebuffer ops performed?

Where is F-Buffer stored?

On-chip Off-chip graphics DRAM Main system memory

Buffer access is linear \rightarrow hybrids are relatively easy

Options for overflow

- HW-supported virtual memory
 - Removes burden from software
 - Linear access makes it simple
- Pass burden to software just avoid overflow
- HW-supported batching of geometry
 - Break geometry into batches
 - Render all passes for a batch, then start next batch
 - HW support for starting/stopping batches
 - Fragment-granularity batching is simplest, but inefficient if overflow is common



Statistics from Quake III shaders*

- 10% of shader invokations overflow an F-Buffer sized to 10% of screen
- 0.1% of shader invokations overflow an F-Buffer sized to 85% of screen
- Rarely, shader invokations overflow an F-Buffer sized to 100% of screen!
- Note... future applications are flexible

* For shaders requiring two passes on a single-texture pipeline. Two-pass shaders are used for 53% of fragments. Each shader invokation is counted separately.

Shading library can handle overflow



- Added F-Buffer to MesaGL
- Shading system manages F-Buffer overflows
- No changes to application

Multi-pass rendering's future

Functional-unit virtualization in HW is great

- Easy for software to use
- Trend is clear NV10, NV20, R200

But, multi-pass rendering will still be useful

- For further virtualization of functional units
- For virtualization of memory/registers

Conclusion

F-Buffer can solve problems with multi-pass rendering

- Works with partial transparency
- Doesn't waste memory
- Can easily preserve multiple results per pass

F-Buffer overflow can be handled in HW and/or SW

F-Buffer could facilitate evolution towards more general stream-processing architecture

Acknowledgements

Stanford Shading Group

 Pat Hanrahan, Svetoslav Tzvetkov, Pradeep Sen, Ren Ng, Eric Chan, John Owens, David Ebert

Sponsors

- ATI, NVIDIA, SONY, Sun
- DARPA, DOE

Useful discussions

Roger Allen, Matt Papakipos